11. Jinek, M., et al. (2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science, 337(6096), 816–821. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225829

12. Borlaug, N. E. (1970). The Green Revolution, Peace and Humanity. Nobel Lecture. https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/1970/borlaug/lecture/

13. OECD. OECD Schemes for the Varietal Certification or the Control of Seed Moving in International Trade. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/agriculture/seeds/

14. ISTA. International Rules for Seed Testing. Bassersdorf: International Seed Testing Association. https://www.seedtest.org

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF EDUCATION SYSTEMS IN ECONOMICALLY DEVELOPED COUNTRIES AND UZBEKISTAN

Yuldasheva Sojida Zoyirovna

Assistant of the "Psychology" Department
Jizzakh Branch of National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulug‘bek
sojidayuldasheva8384@gmail.com

Sunatillayeva E’zoza Ma’ruf qizi
Student of "Philology and Language Teaching: English" Direction
Jizzakh Branch of National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
ezozasunatillayeva@gmail.com

Abstract: This study presents a comparative analysis of the educational systems in economically developed nations—specifically Germany, France, Japan, and the United States—alongside the rapidly evolving educational framework of Uzbekistan. The paper highlights the core organizational structures, pedagogical methodologies, and policy approaches of each system. Germany’s dual vocational training model, France’s centralized academic rigor, Japan’s holistic character building (*Tokkatsu*), and the United States’ flexible, credit-based interdisciplinary curriculum are critically examined. Furthermore, the abstract contextualizes recent structural reforms in Uzbekistan aimed at modernizing higher and secondary education, integrating digital pedagogy, and adopting international credit-module systems (ECTS). By evaluating these international models against Uzbekistan's current educational trajectory, the study identifies strategic pathways for enhancing pedagogical efficiency, practical skills alignment, and quality assurance. The findings emphasize that adapting Germany’s industry-integrated vocational mechanisms and the US model's emphasis on critical thinking can significantly complement Uzbekistan’s ongoing initiatives. Ultimately, this research offers insights into synthesizing proven global practices with local socio-economic requirements to foster an adaptable, high-quality, and globally competitive education system in Uzbekistan.

Keywords: Education system, comparative pedagogy, dual training, educational reforms, Uzbekistan, global education.

Every national education system reflects a country’s broader socio-economic goals, historical context, and institutional priorities. In recent years, Uzbekistan has undertaken substantial reforms to modernize its academic sector, expand university access, and align school curricula with contemporary skill demands. However, building an adaptable and highly competitive system requires continuous benchmarking against globally established frameworks. Economically developed nations such as Germany, France, Japan, and the United States offer distinct structural paradigms: Germany emphasizes vocational integration through its dual training system; France maintains centralized academic standards and competitive entry examinations; Japan prioritizes holistic character formation alongside STEM discipline; and the United States relies on a flexible, credit-based model designed to foster interdisciplinary research and critical inquiry. By analyzing these diverse institutional approaches alongside Uzbekistan's ongoing educational transformations, this paper examines key operational differences, identifies practical

insights, and outlines potential strategic directions to support Uzbekistan's long-term educational modernization.

The education system of the United States operates on a highly decentralized structure, distinguishing itself from centralized state models found across Europe and Asia. Governance is delegated to individual states and local school districts rather than a singular federal ministry. While the national Department of Education oversees federal funding allocation, civil rights compliance, and statistical data, state authorities retain the autonomy to shape curricula, graduation standards, teacher licensing, and assessment metrics.

Schooling is broadly categorized into a compulsory thirteen-year structure known as K–12, spanning from kindergarten through twelfth grade. Early elementary education focuses on foundational literacy, basic arithmetic, and social development under a primary homeroom teacher. As students transition into middle school and high school, instruction becomes increasingly departmentalized, introducing subject-specialized faculty and elective courses. High school education centers around a credit-accumulation model, where students fulfill mandatory core requirements in mathematics, natural sciences, history, and language arts while selecting electives aligned with their academic or vocational interests. To accommodate diverse academic capacities, high schools offer Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and dual-enrollment programs that allow motivated students to earn university-level credits prior to secondary school graduation.

Higher education in the United States is characterized by institutional autonomy, a wide range of choices, and a credit-hour system evaluated through a cumulative grade point average. Post-secondary pathways include two-year community colleges offering associate degrees and technical certifications, four-year public and private universities conferring bachelor's degrees, and specialized graduate schools providing advanced master's and doctoral qualifications. University admissions follow a holistic evaluation process, considering high school transcripts, standardized test scores, personal essays, letters of recommendation, and extracurricular leadership rather than relying on a single national entry examination. Furthermore, American undergraduate pedagogy prioritizes critical inquiry, interdisciplinary study, active classroom debate, and the flexibility to adjust academic majors during the course of study.

Germany's education system is distinguished by its early academic tracking and its highly successful dual vocational training model. Education policy is primarily managed by individual federal states, which allows for minor regional adaptations while maintaining a unified national standard across primary, secondary, and tertiary levels.

Compulsory schooling begins at age six with primary school, which typically spans four years. At roughly ten years of age, students undergo an early tracking process based on academic performance and teacher recommendations. Secondary education branches into three main pathways: a basic secondary track that offers foundational vocational orientation; a intermediate secondary track that provides broader technical and administrative training; and an academically rigorous

university-preparatory track culminating in the national secondary exit examination required for higher education entrance.

A central pillar of the German model is the dual vocational education and training system. This framework integrates classroom theory at vocational schools with paid, structured apprenticeships inside real companies. By embedding industry standards directly into secondary education, Germany maintains low youth unemployment rates and ensures a smooth transition from education to employment.

In higher education, state-funded public universities predominate, offering tuition-free instruction for most academic programs. Traditional universities emphasize fundamental research and student autonomy, while universities of applied sciences focus on practical application, technology, and engineering fields. Academic assessment relies heavily on end-of-term examinations, requiring high levels of independent study and self-discipline from students.

France's education system is distinguished by its strong centralization, state oversight, and rigorous academic tradition. Unlike decentralized frameworks where regional policies dictate learning standards, the French system is governed directly by the Ministry of National Education, ensuring a unified national curriculum, standardized teacher recruitment, and identical evaluation criteria across all public educational institutions. The educational structure is divided into three primary levels: primary school, lower secondary school, and upper secondary school. Compulsory education begins at age three in preschool and transitions into five years of elementary schooling focused on foundational literacy, mathematics, and civic values. Upon entering lower secondary school for a four-year period, all students follow a standardized general curriculum. At the end of this stage, students take their first national examination, the National Diploma, which assesses core academic knowledge before they branch into distinct secondary pathways.

In upper secondary school, education splits into academic, technological, or vocational streams. The three-year academic and technological tracks prepare students for higher education, culminating in the prestigious and demanding national secondary exit examination, commonly known as the High School Baccalaureate. Passing this examination serves as both the completion of secondary education and the formal entry qualification for post-secondary studies. Higher education in France features a unique dual structure comprising public research universities and highly selective specialized elite institutions. Public universities are open to all secondary school graduates holding the national exit diploma, offering accessible, state-funded undergraduate and postgraduate degree programs adhering to the European credit transfer system. In contrast, admission to elite specialized higher schools—which train top-tier professionals in engineering, public administration, business, and the humanities—requires completing two years of intensive university-level preparatory programs followed by highly competitive national entrance exams. Overall, French pedagogy places significant emphasis on analytical writing, philosophical reasoning, structured argumentation, and theoretical depth.

Japan's education system is widely recognized for its high academic standards, strong emphasis on character building, and egalitarian foundational structure. Governed centrally by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science

and Technology, the national curriculum framework establishes consistent academic standards, textbook approval procedures, and equitable resource allocation across all regions.

The educational structure follows a standard six-three-three-four model, comprising six years of elementary school, three years of lower secondary school, three years of upper secondary school, and four years of undergraduate university education. Compulsory schooling spans the nine years of elementary and lower secondary education. During these foundational years, the curriculum places equal emphasis on academic subjects and holistic character formation, known as whole-person education. Students actively participate in school upkeep, shared lunchtime duties, and group activities, which instills personal responsibility, self-discipline, and strong social cooperation from an early age.

While lower secondary education maintains a broad, non-selective curriculum, entry into upper secondary school is competitive and based on entrance examinations. Upper secondary education offers both academic and vocational tracks, though the vast majority of students enroll in general academic streams. A defining characteristic of the secondary stage is the rigorous academic pressure associated with high-stakes university entrance examinations. To prepare for these competitive national and institutional tests, many students supplement their formal schooling with private after-school tutoring academies.

Japan’s higher education landscape includes national universities, public regional institutions, and a large sector of private universities and junior colleges. Higher education institutions place a strong focus on scientific research, engineering, and technological innovation. Admissions are predominantly determined by performance on standardized national achievement tests and university-specific examinations. The system effectively balances egalitarian basic education with highly competitive secondary and tertiary selection, producing exceptional functional literacy, strong mathematical competencies, and a highly disciplined workforce.

In recent years, **the education system of Uzbekistan** has been undergoing a structural transformation aimed at modernizing pedagogical frameworks, expanding university access, and aligning national standards with international benchmarks. Historically modeled after a traditional centralized administrative structure, the national educational policy is directed by state authorities, primarily the Ministry of Preschool and School Education alongside the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovations. These governing bodies oversee national curriculum implementation, standardized testing procedures, teacher licensing, and institutional quality assurance across all administrative regions of the country.

General secondary education in Uzbekistan spans eleven years of compulsory schooling, structured into primary education covering grades one through four and general secondary education spanning grades five through eleven. A major element of recent reforms includes the establishment of Specialized Schools and Presidential Schools, which function as regional centers of academic excellence. These institutions integrate advanced science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics curricula, employing modern project-based learning methodologies

and interactive digital tools to cultivate analytical thinking and problem-solving skills among high-performing students.

Following the completion of eleven years of compulsory schooling, students can choose between pursuing higher education or enrolling in professional institutions, such as vocational colleges and technical schools, which offer specialized technical training tailored to regional economic demands. Higher education has experienced significant expansion, characterized by a dramatic increase in enrollment capacity, the establishment of branch campuses of prestigious international universities, and the rapid growth of private higher education institutions. Admission to public undergraduate programs is governed by standardized national entrance examinations administered by the Knowledge and Skills Assessment Agency, ensuring a transparent and merit-based selection process.

To improve global integration and academic mobility, higher education institutions across Uzbekistan have systematically implemented the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System, transitioning traditional lecture-heavy instruction toward credit-module evaluation frameworks. This modern structure emphasizes independent student research, continuous formative assessment, and credit-based course progression. Furthermore, national initiatives prioritize foreign language proficiency—particularly in English—by integrating modern pedagogical techniques, international certification requirements, and digital learning platforms into secondary and tertiary curricula. Overall, Uzbekistan's ongoing educational strategy balances the preservation of foundational academic rigor with the active adoption of progressive global practices to foster a skilled, competitive, and adaptable workforce.

A comparative evaluation of these diverse educational frameworks reveals distinct strategic priorities across nations. The United States excels in institutional flexibility, interdisciplinary inquiry, and a holistic evaluation model that fosters individual autonomy and critical thinking. Germany demonstrates the strength of combining academic rigor with industry-aligned dual vocational training, which effectively bridges the gap between education and workforce integration. France highlights the value of centralized national standards, philosophical reasoning, and competitive academic excellence, while Japan demonstrates how combining character building and social responsibility with high academic expectations builds a disciplined and cohesive society.

For Uzbekistan, synthesizing these established global practices offers a strategic blueprint for continuing its structural modernization. Incorporating elements of the German dual training model into national vocational institutions can significantly enhance practical skills and youth employability by strengthening direct partnerships with industrial sectors. Adopting the broader flexibility of credit-based academic pathways, inspired by the American system, can further empower university students to customize their interdisciplinary learning trajectories. Furthermore, maintaining high foundational standards and holistic character formation, as seen in Japan, ensures that rapid technological adoption is balanced with strong civic values and personal responsibility.

In conclusion, the evolution of national education systems requires a thoughtful balance between preserving cultural foundations and adopting progressive global standards. Uzbekistan's ongoing structural reforms demonstrate a clear commitment to academic accessibility, quality assurance, and international integration. By thoughtfully adapting proven elements of flexibility from the United States, vocational integration from Germany, academic rigor from France, and character building from Japan, Uzbekistan can continue to build a resilient, competitive, and globally recognized educational system capable of driving sustainable socio-economic development.

RECOURCES

1. Alexander, R. (2020). *Comparing Education Policies and Systems Across Nations: Culture, Pedagogy, and Structure*. Routledge.
2. Deissinger, T. (2015). The German dual system of vocational education and training and its policy transfer potential. *International Journal of Training Research*, 13(2), 110–125.
3. European Commission / EACEA / Eurydice. (2023). *The Structure of the European Education Systems 2022/2023: Schemas*. Eurydice Facts and Figures. Publications Office of the European Union.
4. Green, A. (2013). *Education and State Formation: Europe, East Asia and the USA*. Palgrave Macmillan.
5. Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovations of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2023). *Development Strategy of Higher Education System in Uzbekistan*. Tashkent: Tashkent University Press.
6. Sunatillayeva E'zoza Ma'ruf qizi, Saitov Abduvaliyevich. (2025). The Purposes and Tasks of Translation and Its Problems. Prospects and Main Trends in Modern Science, Vol. 2 No. 19. Jizzakh Branch of the National University of Uzbekistan.

THE ROLE OF DOMESTIC TOURISM IN PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN UZBEKISTAN

Olimova Mushtariy, Axatova Shaxina
Students of tourism hospitality
In "Silk Road" international university of tourism and cultural heritage
olimovamushtariy454@gmail.com, shaxinaaxatova21@gmail.com

Abstract: This study examines the role of domestic tourism in the development of the tourism sector in Uzbekistan. Domestic tourism is significant for